The association between smoking and unfavorable outcomes in acute ischemic stroke patients with mechanical thrombectomy

Zhihong Zhao¹*, Zheng Zhao^{2,3}*, Xiaohan Zheng^{3,2}*, Xiang Li^{3,2}, Xuemei Li¹, Chaoping Huang⁴, Yajie Shan¹, Linda Nyame^{3,2}, Mako Ibrahim^{3,2}, Xiaoping Gao¹, Hui Liang¹, Jue Hu⁴, JianJun Zou^{2,3}

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION Little is known about the relationship between smoking and clinical outcomes in acute ischemic stroke (AIS) patients undergoing mechanical thrombectomy (MT). The outcomes could depend on different stroke subtypes. The aim of this study was to investigate whether smoking affected differently the outcomes in patients with different stroke subtypes who received MT.

METHODS AIS patients who underwent MT were prospectively enrolled from three hospitals between January 2014 and December 2018. Smokers were defined as current users of cigarettes. The stroke subtypes were classified according to TOAST criteria. Outcome measurements included treatment effects, intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH), and functional outcomes at 3 months. The effects of smoking on outcomes were assessed by logistic regression analysis.

RESULTS A total of 128 AIS patients with MT were enrolled, including 64 smokers and 64 non-smokers. Logistic regression analysis indicated that smoking was related to higher risk of In-hospital ICH (OR=4.31; 95% CI: 1.10–16.96; p=0.036) in patients with cardioembolism subtype. Furthermore, smoking was also associated with lower rates of mild stroke at discharge (OR=0.07; 95% CI: 0.02–0.31; p<0.001) and functional independence (OR=0.13; 95% CI: 0.03–0.56; p=0.006) in patients with cardioembolism subtype.

CONCLUSIONS IN AIS patients undergoing MT, smoking could be related to a higher risk of In-hospital ICH and lower rates of mild stroke at discharge and functional independence if their stroke subtype is cardioembolism.

AFFILIATION

 Department of Neurology, The First Affiliated Hospital (People's Hospital of Hunan Province), Hunan Normal University, Changsha, China
 Department of Pharmacy, Nanjing First Hospital, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China
 School of Basic Medicine and Clinical Pharmacy, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing, China
 Department of Neurology,

Changsha Central Hospital, Changsha, China *Contributed equally

CORRESPONDENCE TO

Zheng Zhao. Department of Pharmacy, Nanjing First Hospital, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China. E-mail: zhaozheng0322@126.com

Jianjun Zou. Department of Pharmacy, Nanjing First Hospital, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China. E-mail: zoujianjun100@126.com

KEYWORDS

smoking, stroke, mechanical thrombectomy, intracranial hemorrhages, cardioembolism

Received: 23 October 2019 Revised: 18 March 2020 Accepted: 18 March 2020

https://doi.org/10.18332/tid/119229

Tob. Induc. Dis. 2020;18(April):31

INTRODUCTION

Smoking is a well-known independent and modifiable risk factor for the development of acute ischemic stroke (AIS)¹⁻⁵. Although hazardous effects are found on cerebrovascular health and cerebral infarction⁶, recently many studies have reported that smokers had better clinical outcomes and lower risk of intracranial hemorrhage (ICH) than non-smokers in AIS patients treated with intravenous thrombolysis (IVT)⁷⁻¹¹, described as 'Smoker's paradox'. Most research has shown that the paradox of smoking can be explained by differences in demographic and clinical characteristics between smokers and non-smokers, such as younger age, ethnicity, etiological factors and lower frequency of cardiovascular risk factors¹²⁻¹⁴. However, little is known about the relationship between smoking and clinical outcomes after mechanical thrombectomy (MT), which is recommended by the current clinical practice guideline for the treatment of intracranial large-artery occlusive strokes¹⁵⁻¹⁸. Therefore, the association between smoking and MT still needs to be clarified.

We assume that the smoking–MT relationship could be associated with differences in demographic and clinical characteristics at baseline (e.g. subtypes of stroke) in AIS patients. We therefore conducted a multicenter prospective study with in-depth analysis of clinical data to examine the relationship between smoking and clinical outcomes of ischemic stroke after MT in overall Chinese AIS patients, including large artery atherosclerosis and cardioembolism stroke patients.

METHODS

Study population

Acute ischemic stroke patients who underwent MT were consecutively enrolled at Nanjing First Hospital, Hunan Provincial People's Hospital, and Changsha Central Hospital, between January 2014 and December 2018. The study was performed according to the principles of the Helsinki Declaration 1975 and was approved by the Ethics Committee in Nanjing First Hospital, Hunan Provincial People's Hospital, and Changsha Central Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained before enrollment.

Eligible patients for MT adhered to the following criteria: 1) age ≥ 18 years; 2) had a primary diagnosis of AIS; 3) onset of neurological symptoms <6 hours; 4) intracranial large artery occlusion evaluated by magnetic resonance angiography; and 5) National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score ≥5 on admission. Exclusion criteria were set as follows: 1) history of intracranial hemorrhage within 3 weeks; 2) any active bleeding or bleeding diathesis; 3) blood glucose concentration <2.8 or >22.0 mmol/L; 4) platelet count <10000/ μ L; and 5) severe hepatic or renal dysfunction. Smokers were defined as current users of cigarettes. Baseline characteristics were collected, including age, gender, tirofiban treatment, diabetes mellitus, previous cardiovascular disease, NIHSS score on admission, onset to treatment time (OTT), fasting blood glucose, platelet count, stroke locations, and stroke subtypes. The stroke subtypes were classified according to the Trial of ORG 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment (TOAST) criteria: large artery atherosclerosis (LAA), small artery occlusion (SAO), cardioembolism (CE), and stroke of other determined or undetermined cause. All patients underwent brain imaging by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or computer tomography (CT) scan. Stroke subtypes classification was based on the brain imaging and clinical features and was performed by at least two experienced neurologists. A total of 250 AIS patients who underwent MT were initially recruited and then propensity score matching analysis was applied to the correction for disbalance in baseline characteristics. Briefly, smokers were matched to non-smokers by the nearest neighbor matching without replacement at 1:1 fixed ratio and the caliper value was set at 0.12. Eventually, a total of 128 patients were enrolled in the subsequent statistically analyses. Because of very few patients with stroke of determined cause, they were combined with those in the stroke of undetermined cause subtype and named together as the 'Other' group. Furthermore, there were no patients in the stroke of small artery occlusion subtype, and therefore all patients in this study were classified into three stroke subtypes (LAA, CE, and Other).

Outcome measurements

Treatment effects: NIHSS scores at 24 hours, at 3 days, and at discharge. Mild stroke was defined¹⁹ as having a score of 0–4.

Intracerebral hemorrhage: 1) symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage (sICH) was evaluated according to ECASS2 criteria; 2) any In-hospital ICH, evaluated by computed tomography scan post MT or any time if neurologic deterioration occurred.

Functional outcomes: A modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score at 3 months was obtained by telephone follow-up and performed by well-trained and certified assessors. Minimal symptom and functional independence were defined as having a mRS score of 0–1 and 0–2, respectively.

Statistical analyses

Baseline characteristics were compared between smokers and non-smokers in all patients with MT. For continuous variables, mean \pm SD (or medians with interquartile range for skewed distribution) was used to summarize data, and two-tailed t-test or Mann-Whitney U test was performed to detect differences between groups. For categorical variables, frequencies and percentage were used to summarize data, and intergroup comparisons were performed by Pearson's chisquared test or Fisher's exact test, where appropriate. The effects of smoking status on treatment effects, intracerebral hemorrhage and functional outcomes were assessed by logistic regression analysis. A p-value <0.05 was considered as indicating a significant difference. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS Version 23.0 (IBM Corp).

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

A total of 128 acute ischemic stroke patients with MT were eligible for this study. Among them, 64 (50.0%) were current smokers. Based on their stroke subtype, 75 (58.6%) patients were in the LAA subgroup, 48 (37.5%) patients were in CE subgroup, and 5 (3.9%) patients were in the 'Other' subgroup. Baseline characteristics of smokers and non-smokers in all patients and in each ischemic stroke subtype are summarized in Table 1. Because of only 5 patients in the 'Other' subtype, inter-group comparisons could not be performed and therefore this subtype was ruled out in subsequent logistic regression analysis. In the LAA subgroup, the age of stroke occurrence was significantly younger in the smoking group than in the non-smoking group (63 vs 68 years, p<0.047). Apart from this, all baseline characteristics had no statistical difference between smokers and non-smokers either in all patients or in each stroke subtype (p>0.05).

Treatment effects

The effects of smoking status on treatment effects are

Table 1. Baseline characteristics in smoking versus non-smoking patients with different ischemic stroke subtypes, Nanjing, China, 2014–2018 (N=128)

All Pa	All Patients (n=128) LAA (n=75)		A (n=75)		
Smokers (n=64)	Non–smokers (n=64)		Smokers (n=40)	Non–smokers (n=35)	Р
65.2 <u>+</u> 9.6	66.9±15.8	0.472	63.0 <u>±</u> 8.4	68.0±12.4	0.047
63 (98.4)	63 (98.4)	1.000	40 (100)	34 (97.1)	0.467
22 (34.4)	18 (28.1)	0.446	17 (42.5)	10 (28.6)	0.210
8 (12.5)	14 (21.9)	0.160	7 (17.5)	8 (22.9)	0.563
45 (70.3)	43 (67.2)	0.703	31 (77.5)	25 (71.4)	0.546
5 (7.8)	4 (6.3)	1.000	4 (10.0)	2 (5.7)	0.679
18 (28.1)	20 (31.3)	0.699	1 (2.5)	2 (5.7)	0.596
13 (20.3)	19 (29.7)	0.221	4 (10.0)	8 (22.9)	0.130
1 (1.6)	1 (1.6)	1.000	0 (0)	0 (0)	-
12 (18.8)	8 (12.5)	0.330	6 (15.0)	6 (17.1)	0.801
3 (4.7)	1 (1.6)	0.619	3 (7.5)	0 (0)	0.243
13 (7–20)	14 (10–19)	0.372	10 (6–18)	14 (9–20)	0.154
330 (244–510)	322 (216–387)	0.158	394 (263–531)	350 (250–500)	0.500
6.11 (5.49–7.27)	6.30 (5.23–7.19)	0.941	6.17 (5.51–7.11)	6.43 (5.32-8.96)	0.435
182 (149–221)	189 (145–223)	0.918	197 (169–236)	192 (146–225)	0.254
1.00 (0.95–1.09)	1.00 (0.93–1.12)	0.867	0.97 (0.91–1.04)	0.99 (0.93–1.06)	0.285
28 (43.8)	33 (51.6)	0.376	16 (40.0)	19 (54.3)	0.216
45 (70.3)	45 (70.3)	1.000	22 (55.0)	22 (62.9)	0.491
19 (29.7)	19 (29.7)	1.000	18 (45.0)	13 (37.1)	0.491
40 (62.5)	35 (54.7)	0.592			
21 (32.8)	27 (42.2)				
3 (4.7)	2 (3.1)				
	Smokers (n=6.1) 65.2 ± 9.6 $63(98.4)$ $22(34.4)$ $8(12.5)$ $45(70.3)$ $5(7.8)$ $18(28.1)$ $13(20.3)$ $1(1.6)$ $12(18.8)$ $3(4.7)$ $13(7-20)$ $330(244-510)$ $6.11(5.49-7.27)$ $182(149-221)$ $1.00(0.95-1.09)$ $28(43.8)$ $45(70.3)$ $19(29.7)$ $40(62.5)$ $21(32.8)$	Smokers (n=6.1) Non-smokers (n=6.1) 65.2±9.6 66.9±15.8 63 (98.4) 63 (98.4) 22 (34.4) 18 (28.1) 8 (12.5) 14 (21.9) 45 (70.3) 43 (67.2) 5 (7.8) 4 (6.3) 18 (28.1) 20 (31.3) 13 (20.3) 19 (29.7) 1 (1.6) 1 (1.6) 12 (18.8) 8 (12.5) 3 (4.7) 1 (1.6) 13 (7-20) 14 (10-19) 330 (244-510) 322 (216-387) 6.11 (5.49-7.27) 6.30 (5.23-7.19) 182 (149-221) 189 (145-223) 1.00 (0.95-1.09) 1.00 (0.93-1.12) 28 (43.8) 33 (51.6) 45 (70.3) 45 (70.3) 19 (29.7) 19 (29.7) 40 (62.5) 35 (54.7) 21 (32.8) 27 (42.2)	Smokers (n=6.1)Non-smokers (n=6.1)p65.2±9.666.9±15.80.47263 (98.4)63 (98.4)1.00022 (34.4)18 (28.1)0.4468 (12.5)14 (21.9)0.16045 (70.3)43 (67.2)0.7035 (7.8)4 (6.3)1.00018 (28.1)20 (31.3)0.69913 (20.3)19 (29.7)0.2211 (1.6)1 (1.6)1.00012 (18.8)8 (12.5)0.3303 (4.7)1 (1.6)0.61913 (7-20)14 (10-19)0.372330 (244-510)322 (216-387)0.1586.11 (5.49-7.27)6.30 (5.23-7.19)0.941182 (149-221)189 (145-223)0.9181.00 (0.95-1.09)1.00 (0.93-1.12)0.86728 (43.8)33 (51.6)0.37645 (70.3)45 (70.3)1.00019 (29.7)19 (29.7)1.00019 (29.7)19 (29.7)1.00021 (32.8)27 (42.2)	Smokers (n = 64)Non-smokers (n = 64)pSmokers (n = 40) 65.2 ± 9.6 66.9 ± 15.8 0.472 63.0 ± 8.4 63 (98.4) 63 (98.4) 1.000 40 (100) 22 (34.4) 18 (28.1) 0.446 17 (42.5) 8 (12.5) 14 (21.9) 0.160 7 (17.5) 45 (70.3) 43 (67.2) 0.703 31 (77.5) 5 (7.8) 4 (6.3) 1.000 4 (10.0) 18 (28.1) 20 (31.3) 0.699 1 (2.5) 13 (20.3) 19 (29.7) 0.221 4 (10.0) 1 (1.6) 1 (1.6) 1.000 0 (0) 12 (18.8) 8 (12.5) 0.330 6 (15.0) 3 (4.7) 1 (1.6) 0.619 3 (7.5) 13 (7-20) 14 (10-19) 0.372 10 (6-18) 330 (244-510) 322 (216-387) 0.158 394 (263-531) 6.11 (5.49-7.27) 6.30 (5.23-7.19) 0.941 6.17 (5.51-7.11) 182 (149-221) 189 (145-223) 0.918 197 (169-236) 1.00 ($0.95-1.09$) 1.00 ($0.93-1.12$) 0.867 0.97 ($0.91-1.04$) 28 (43.8) 33 (51.6) 0.376 16 (40.0) 45 (70.3) 45 (70.3) 1.000 22 (55.0) 19 (29.7) 19 (29.7) 1.000 18 (45.0) 40 (62.5) 35 (54.7) 0.592 -142.2 21 (32.8) 27 (42.2) -142.2 -142.2	Smokers (n - 6 t) Non-smokers (n - 6 t) p Smokers (n - 40) Non-smokers (n - 35) 65.2±9.6 66.9±15.8 0.472 63.0±8.4 68.0±12.4 63 (98.4) 1.000 40 (100) 34 (97.1) 22 (34.4) 18 (28.1) 0.446 17 (42.5) 10 (28.6) 8 (12.5) 14 (21.9) 0.160 7 (17.5) 8 (22.9) 45 (70.3) 43 (67.2) 0.703 31 (77.5) 25 (71.4) 5 (7.8) 4 (6.3) 1.000 4 (10.0) 2 (5.7) 18 (28.1) 20 (31.3) 0.699 1 (2.5) 2 (5.7) 13 (20.3) 19 (29.7) 0.221 4 (10.0) 8 (22.9) 1 (1.6) 1 (1.6) 1.000 0 (0) 0 12 (18.8) 8 (12.5) 0.330 6 (15.0) 6 (17.1) 3 (4.7) 1 (1.6) 0.619 3 (7.5) 0 (0) 13 (7-20) 14 (10-19) 0.372 10 (6-18) 14 (9-20) 330 (244-510) 322 (216-387) 0.158 394 (263-531) <

Continued

Table 1. Continued

Characteristics		CE (n=48)			Other (n=5)		
	Smokers (n=21)	Non–smokers (n=27)		Smokers (n=3)	Non–smokers (n=2)		
Age (years)	69.6 <u>+</u> 10.0	68.9 <u>+</u> 15.0	0.858	-	-	-	
Male gender	20 (95.2)	27 (100)	0.438	3 (100)	2 (100)	-	
Treatment with tirofiban	4 (19.0)	7 (25.9)	0.733	1 (33.3)	1 (50.0)	1.000	
Diabetes mellitus	1 (4.8)	6 (22.2)	0.118	0 (0)	0 (0)	-	
Arterial hypertension	13 (61.9)	18 (66.7)	0.732	1 (33.3)	0 (0)	1.000	
Hypercholesterolemia	1 (4.8)	1 (3.7)	1.000	0 (0)	1 (50.0)	0.400	
Atrial fibrillation	16 (76.2)	18 (66.7)	0.471	1 (33.3)	0 (0)	1.000	
Coronary heart disease	8 (38.1)	11 (40.7)	0.853	1 (33.3)	0 (0)	1.000	
Previous TIA/stroke	1 (4.8)	1 (3.7)	1.000	0 (0)	0 (0)	-	
Previous cerebral infarction	4 (19.0)	2 (7.4)	0.383	2 (66.7)	0 (0)	0.400	
Previous cerebral hemorrhage	0 (0)	1 (3.7)	1.000	0 (0)	0 (0)	-	
NIHSS on admission	17 (12–25)	15 (11–19)	0.219	-	-	-	
ОП, min	280 (201–348)	238 (180–340)	0.513	-	-	-	
Fasting blood glucose, mmol/L	5.80 (5.22-7.71)	5.67 (5.06-6.72)	0.693	-	-	-	
Platelets (×1000/µL)	154 (127–186)	172 (144–201)	0.271	-	-	-	
PT/INR	1.04 (1.02–1.19)	1.01 (0.95–1.25)	0.257	-	-	-	
Intravenous thrombolysis	12 (57.1)	14 (51.9)	0.715	0 (0)	0 (0)	-	
Anterior circulation stroke	20 (95.2)	22 (81.5)	0.211	3 (100)	1 (50.0)	0.400	
Posterior circulation stroke	1 (4.8)	5 (18.5)	0.211	0 (0)	1 (50.0)	0.400	
Stroke etiology (TOAST)							
LAA							
CE							
Other							

Data are mean±SD, n (%) or median (IQR). LAA: large artery atherosclerosis. CE: cardioembolism. IQR: interquartile range. TIA: transient ischemic attack. NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale. OTT: onset to treatment time. PT/INR: prothrombin time and international normalized ratio.

shown in Table 2. In all patients, logistic regression analysis indicated that the proportions of mild stroke (NIHSS, 0-4) at 24 hours and at 3 days were both comparable between smokers and non-smokers (21.9% vs 21.9%, p=1.000; 29.7% vs 34.4%, p=0.570; respectively). However, at discharge, smokers presented with a lower frequency of mild stroke than non-smokers (31.3% vs 57.8%, OR=0.33; p=0.003). For the LAA subtype, results revealed that the rates of mild stroke after treatment were all comparable between smokers and non-smokers (27.5% vs 22.9%, p=0.645, at 24 hours; 37.5% vs 31.4%, p=0.582, at 3 days; 40.0% vs 45.7%, p=0.618, at discharge). For the CE subtype, smokers showed lower rate of mild stroke at 24 hours (9.5% vs 22.2%) and at 3 days (14.3% vs 40.7%) than non-smokers, and the difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05), However, smokers had a lower proportion of mild stroke at discharge compared to non-smokers (14.3% vs 70.4%, OR=0.044; p<0.001), which is similar to the outcome in all patients.

Intracerebral hemorrhage

The effects of smoking status on intracerebral hemorrhage are summarized in Table 3. In all patients, smokers showed higher incidences of sICH and Inhospital ICH compared to non-smokers (9.4% vs 4.7%; 28.1% vs 18.8%; respectively), while the differences were both not statistically significant (p>0.05). For the LAA subtype, regression analysis revealed that the occurrences of sICH and In-hospital ICH were both comparable between smokers and non-smokers (7.5% vs 8.6%, p=0.865; 20.0% vs 22.9%, p=0.763; respectively). For CE subtype, 2 (9.5%) patients Table 2. Effects of smoking status on treatment effects in patients with different ischemic stroke subtypes, Nanjing, China, 2014–2018 (N=128)

Stroke scale	Smokers	Non-smokers	OR (95% CI)	р
All Patients				
NIHSS_24h, 0-4	14/64 (21.9)	14/64 (21.9)	1.00 (0.43–2.31)	1.000
NIHSS_3d, 0-4	19/64 (29.7)	22/64 (34.4)	0.81 (0.38–1.70)	0.570
NIHSS at discharge, 0–4	20/64 (31.3)	37/64 (57.8)	0.33 (0.16–0.69)	0.003
LAA				
NIHSS_24h, 0-4	11/40 (27.5)	8/35 (22.9)	1.28 (0.45-3.66)	0.645
NIHSS_3d, 0-4	15/40 (37.5)	11/35 (31.4)	1.31 (0.50–3.41)	0.582
NIHSS at discharge, 0-4	16/40 (40.0)	16/35 (45.7)	0.79 (0.32–1.98)	0.618
CE				
NIHSS_24h, 0-4	2/21 (9.5)	6/27 (22.2)	0.37 (0.07-2.05)	0.254
NIHSS_3d, 0-4	3/21 (14.3)	11/27 (40.7)	0.24 (0.06–1.03)	0.054
NIHSS at discharge, 0–4	3/21 (14.3)	19/27 (70.4)	0.07 (0.02–0.31)	<0.001

Data are event numbers/total numbers (%), unless indicated otherwise. NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale. LAA: large artery atherosclerosis. CE: cardioembolism. CI: confidence interval.

Table 3. Effects of smoking status on intracerebral hemorrhage in patients with different ischemic stroke subtypes, Nanjing, China, 2014–2018 (N=128)

Hemorrhage	Smokers	Non-smokers	OR (95% CI)	p
All Patients				
sICH	6/64 (9.4)	3/64 (4.7)	2.10 (0.50-8.81)	0.309
In-hospital ICH	18/64 (28.1)	12/64 (18.8)	1.70 (0.74–3.89)	0.213
LAA				
sICH	3/40 (7.5)	3/35 (8.6)	0.87 (0.16-4.59)	0.865
In-hospital ICH	8/40 (20.0)	8/35 (22.9)	0.84 (0.28–2.55)	0.763
CE				
sICH	2/21 (9.5)	0/27 (0)	-	0.998
In-hospital ICH	9/21 (42.9)	4/27 (14.8)	4.31 (1.10–16.96)	0.036

Data are event numbers/total numbers (%), unless indicated otherwise. sICH: symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage. LAA: large artery atherosclerosis. CE: cardioembolism. CI: confidence interval.

in smoking group and none in non-smoking group developed sICH, and no significant difference was detected (p=0.998). However, smokers had higher risk of In-hospital ICH (42.9% vs 14.8%, OR=4.673; p=0.036) than non-smokers.

Functional outcomes

The effects of smoking status on functional outcomes at 90 days are detailed in Table 4. In all patients, the proportion of minimal symptom (mRS, 0–1) was comparable between smokers and non-smokers (28.1% vs 25.0%, p=0.689). Smokers had a lower rate of functional independence (mRS, 0–2) compared to non-smokers (32.8% vs 48.4%), whereas the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.073). For the LAA subtype, results demonstrated that the rate of minimal symptom (37.5% vs 28.6%, p=0.414), functional independence (42.5% vs 42.9%, p=0.975) were both comparable between smokers and non-smokers. But for the CE subtype, smokers showed lower rate of functional independence (14.3% vs 55.6%, OR=0.13; p=0.006) than non-smokers. The proportion of minimal symptom was also lower in smokers compared to non-smokers (9.5% vs 22.2%), whereas the difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05).

Outcomes	Smokers	Non-smokers	OR (95% CI)	р
All Patients				
3-mo mRS, 0-1	18/64 (28.1)	16/64 (25.0)	1.17 (0.54–2.57)	0.689
3-mo mRS, 0-2	21/64 (32.8)	31/64 (48.4)	0.52 (0.25–1.06)	0.073
LAA				
3-mo mRS, 0-1	15/40 (37.5)	10/35 (28.6)	1.50 (0.57–3.97)	0.414
3-mo mRS, 0-2	17/40 (42.5)	15/35 (42.9)	0.99 (0.39–2.47)	0.975
CE				
3-mo mRS, 0-1	2/21 (9.5)	6/27 (22.2)	0.37 (0.07–2.05)	0.254
3-mo mRS, 0-2	3/21 (14.3)	15/27 (55.6)	0.13 (0.03–0.56)	0.006

Table 4. Effects of smoking status on functional outcomes in patients with different ischemic stroke subtypes, Nanjing, China, 2014–2018 (N=128)

Data are event numbers/total numbers (%), unless indicated otherwise. mRS: modified Rankin Scale. LAA: large artery atherosclerosis. CE: cardioembolism. CI: confidence interval.

DISCUSSION

Many studies have investigated the relationship between smoking status and clinical outcomes in stroke patients treated with IVT with conflicting results^{7,9,11,20-22}. Moulin et al.²⁰ found that a favorable outcome was observed in smokers who received IVT. Aries et al.²² showed that smoking did not affect sICH or favorable outcomes at 3 months in AIS patients treated with IVT. Such conflicting results may be related to differences in age, ethnicity and risk factors prevalence between smokers and nonsmokers. However, the relationship between smoking and clinical outcomes in Asian patients with MT is unknown. Therefore, we investigated whether smoking had an influence on outcomes in Chinese AIS patients who received MT.

Our study built on this research by focusing on the subtypes of ischemic stroke. We found that smoking has differential effects on clinical outcomes based on LAA or CE subtype. In MT-treated patients with CE subtype, smoking was associated with a decreased chance of mild stroke (NIHSS 0-4 at discharge), favorable outcome (3-month mRS 0-2), and an increased risk of In-hospital ICH, whereas there was no such association in stroke patients with the subtype of LAA. Therefore, the association between smoking and unfavorable outcomes after MT might depend on the ischemic stroke subtype.

First, in MT-treated patients, we discovered a negative association between smoking and the treatment effect (NIHSS 0-4 at discharge) in patients with CE stroke subtype (OR=0.07; 95% CI: 0.02-0.31;

p<0.001). However, in IVT-treated patients, Ovbiagele et al.²¹ reported that smokers had a significantly greater improvement of their median NIHSS scores at 24 hours from the baseline than in non-smokers. Tong et al.¹⁰ found that smoking could be related to a better chance of functional independence if their subtype of stroke was non-cardioembolic in patients treated with IVT.

Second, in MT-treated patients, we found that CE was the underlying reason of unfavorable outcomes for the smokers, but in the LAA subgroup the odds of clinical outcomes were equally distributed between smokers and non-smokers. Moreover, Wang et al.²³ discovered that stroke patients with CE subtype had much worse clinical outcomes at follow-up at 3 months compared with those with LAA. However, in smokers treated with IVT, Tong et al.¹⁰ reported that non-cardioembolic stroke is considered to be an independent factor for favorable outcomes.

Third, in this study we did not find a statistical difference in sICH between smokers and non-smokers after MT treatment. Smoking status had no impact on risk of sICH, which is in line with the literature^{7,22}. Because of low event rate of sICH and small patient numbers, these results should be interpreted with caution. However, in IVT-treated patients, patients with CE have more sICH and relatively higher risk of hemorrhage^{24,25} compared with those with LAA, similar results were found by Strbian and Goldstein^{26,27}. Also, Tong et al.¹⁰ discovered that smoking could be related to a lower risk of sICH in LAA patients treated with IVT. In addition, in MT-treated patients with CE

stroke subtype, we found an adverse effect of smoking in increasing the rate of In-hospital ICH (OR=4.31; 95% CI: 1.10–16.96; p=0.036). Further research is needed to better elucidate the effect of smoking on occurrence of In-hospital ICH.

We found that CE instead of LAA was associated with unfavorable outcomes for the smokers with MT. It is generally believed that CE emboli are rich in fibrin²³ and smokers have significantly increased plasma levels of fibrinogen compared with non-smokers, which increases the probability of thrombosis²⁸. It has been estimated that up to 50% of the increased risk of cardiovascular disease in smokers may be related to increased plasma concentrations of fibrinogen²⁹. The formation of fibrin-rich clots in smokers is based on the continuous inflammatory stimulation to cells evolved by nicotine, which leads to elevated levels of fibrinogen²⁸.

Smoking also induces a wide variety of physiological responses, some of which appear likely to be involved in accelerating atherogenesis or increasing the possibility of thrombus formation³⁰, which is associated with a hypercoagulable state mediated by increased hematocrit and fibrinogen levels, higher fibrin-rich clots, and impaired endogenous fibrinolytic ability, so arterial occlusions in smokers may be rather thrombogenic^{31,32}. These effects are mediated by platelet activation, increased fibrinogen and proinflammatory cytokine^{28,33}. In addition, another theory suggested that this effect is mediated by nicotine induced increase in the levels of plasminogen activator 1 secreted by the brain endothelium³². Nicotine increases brain endothelial cell PAI-1 mRNA expression and protein production via PK-Cdependent pathway, which explains why smoking may be associated with a predisposition to thrombosis²¹.

Strengths and limitations

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to explore the impact of smoking on clinical outcomes in Chinese AIS patients after MT. A potential strength of this study is the prospective nature of the protocol, providing identical monitoring of the patients in this three-center study. Furthermore, the data are of high quality because clinical data were collected systematically and prospectively at baseline and at 3 months follow-up by certified neurologists.

Our study has some limitations. First, this study was

an observational, non-randomized cohort. Second, we had no record of the quantity of smoking (e.g. packyears), leading to high heterogeneity in our smoking cohort and hindering a differentiation of heavy versus mild smokers. Third, the sample size enrolled in this study was relatively small, which might have led to bias and affected the reliability of results. Future large-scale studies should be performed. Finally, we studied the relationship between smoking and MT only in Chinese patients. Racial differences may have an impact on the relationship observed in this analysis, so our findings should be interpreted cautiously and need to be confirmed by prospective cohort studies in different populations, and so cannot easily be extrapolated to other populations.

CONCLUSIONS

In AIS patients undergoing MT, smoking could be related to higher risk of In-hospital ICH, and lower rates of mild stroke at discharge and functional independence if their stroke subtype is cardioembolism.

REFERENCES

- O'Donnell MJ, Xavier D, Liu L, et al. Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): a casecontrol study. Lancet. 2010;376(9735):112-123. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60834-3
- 2. Peters SA, Huxley RR, Woodward M. Smoking as a risk factor for stroke in women compared with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 81 cohorts, including 3,980,359 individuals and 42,401 strokes. Stroke. 2013;44(10):2821-2828. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.113.002342
- George MG, Tong X, Bowman BA. Prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors and strokes in younger adults. JAMA Neurol. 2017;74(6):695-703. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2017.0020
- 4. Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2016 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2016;133(4):e38-e360. doi:10.1161/CIR.00000000000350
- Von Sarnowski B, Putaala J, Grittner U, et al. Lifestyle risk factors for ischemic stroke and transient ischemic attack in young adults in the Stroke in Young Fabry Patients study. Stroke. 2013;44(1):119-125. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.112.665190
- You RX, McNeil JJ, O'Malley HM, Davis SM, Thrift AG, Donnan GA. Risk factors for stroke due to cerebral infarction in young adults. Stroke. 1997;28(10):1913-1918. doi:10.1161/01.STR.28.10.1913
- Kvistad CE, Oeygarden H, Logallo N, Thomassen L, Waje-Andreassen U, Naess H. Is smoking associated with favourable outcome in tPA-treated stroke patients? Acta Neurol Scand. 2014;130(5):299-304. doi:10.1111/ane.12225

- 8. Wahlgren N, Ahmed N, Eriksson N, et al. Multivariable analysis of outcome predictors and adjustment of main outcome results to baseline data profile in randomized controlled trials: Safe Implementation of Thrombolysis in Stroke MOnitoring STudy (SITS-MOST). Stroke. 2008;39(12):3316-3322. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.510768
- Kufner A, Nolte CH, Galinovic I, et al. Smokingthrombolysis paradox: recanalization and reperfusion rates after intravenous tissue plasminogen activator in smokers with ischemic stroke. Stroke. 2013;44(2):407-413. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.112.662148
- Tong X, Wang C, Liao X, et al. Smoking-Thrombolysis Relationship Depends on Ischemic Stroke Subtype. Stroke. 2016;47(7):1811-1816. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.116.013124
- Hussein HM, Niemann N, Parker ED, Qureshi AI. Searching for the Smoker's Paradox in Acute Stroke Patients Treated With Intravenous Thrombolysis. Nicotine Tob Res. 2017;19(7):871-876. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntx020
- 12. Andrikopoulos GK, Richter DJ, Dilaveris PE, et al. Inhospital mortality of habitual cigarette smokers after acute myocardial infarction. The smoker's paradox in a countrywide study. Eur Heart J. 2001;22(9):776-784. doi:10.1053/euhj.2000.2315
- 13. Barbash GI, Reiner J, White HD, et al. Evaluation of paradoxic beneficial effects of smoking in patients receiving thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction: Mechanism of the smoker's paradox from the GUSTO-I trial, with angiographic insights. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1995;26(5):1222-1229. doi:10.1016/0735-1097(95)00299-5
- Markidan J, Cole JW, Cronin CA, et al. Smoking and Risk of Ischemic Stroke in Young Men. Stroke. 2018;49(5):1276-1278. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.117.018859
- 15. Powers WJ, Derdeyn CP, Biller J, et al. 2015 American Heart Association/American Stroke Association Focused Update of the 2013 Guidelines for the Early Management of Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke Regarding Endovascular Treatment: A Guideline for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/ American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2015;46(10):3020-3035. doi:10.1161/STR.00000000000074
- 16. Saver JL, Goyal M, Bonafe A, et al. Stent-retriever thrombectomy after intravenous t-PA vs. t-PA alone in stroke. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(24):2285-2295. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1415061
- 17. Berkhemer OA, Fransen PS, Beumer D, et al. A randomized trial of intraarterial treatment for acute ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(1):11-20. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1411587
- Goyal M, Demchuk AM, Menon BK, et al. Randomized assessment of rapid endovascular treatment of ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(11):1019-1030. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1414905
- 19. Hacke W, Kaste M, Bluhmki E, et al. Thrombolysis with alteplase 3 to 4.5 hours after acute ischemic

stroke. N Engl J Med. 2008;359(13):1317-1329. doi:10.1056/nejmoa0804656

- 20. Moulin S, Padjen-Bogosavljevic V, Marichal A, et al. Influence of differences in case mix on the better outcome of smokers after intravenous thrombolysis for acute cerebral ischemia. Eur Neurol. 2012;67(3):178-183. doi:10.1159/000334847
- 21. Ovbiagele B, Saver JL. The smoking-thrombolysis paradox and acute ischemic stroke. Neurology. 2005;65(2):293-295. doi:10.1212/01.WNL.0000168163.72351.f3
- 22. Aries MJ, Uyttenboogaart M, Koch MW, et al. Does smoking influence outcome after intravenous thrombolysis for acute ischaemic stroke? Eur J Neurol. 2009;16(7):819-822. doi:10.1111/j.1468-1331.2009.02596.x
- Wang XG, Zhang LQ, Liao XL, et al. Unfavorable Outcome of Thrombolysis in Chinese Patients with Cardioembolic Stroke: a Prospective Cohort Study. CNS Neurosci Ther. 2015;21(8):657-661. doi:10.1111/cns.12421
- Terruso V, D'Amelio M, Di Benedetto N, et al. Frequency and determinants for hemorrhagic transformation of cerebral infarction. Neuroepidemiology. 2009;33(3):261-265. doi:10.1159/000229781
- 25. Paciaroni M, Agnelli G, Corea F, et al. Early hemorrhagic transformation of brain infarction: Rate, predictive factors, and influence on clinical outcome: Results of a prospective multicenter study. Stroke. 2008;39(8):2249-2256. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.510321
- 26. Strbian D, Sairanen T, Meretoja A, et al. Patient outcomes from symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage after stroke thrombolysis. Neurology. 2011;77(4):341-348. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182267b8c
- 27. Goldstein JN, Marrero M, Masrur S, et al. Management of thrombolysis-associated symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage. Arch Neurol. 2010;67(8):965-969. doi:10.1001/archneurol.2010.175
- Hunter KA, Garlick PJ, Broom I, Anderson SE, McNurlan MA. Effects of smoking and abstention from smoking on fibrinogen synthesis in humans. Clin Sci. 2001;100(4):459-465. doi:10.1042/cs1000459
- 29. Kannel WB, D'Agostino RB, Belanger AJ. Fibrinogen, cigarette smoking, and risk of cardiovascular disease: insights from the Framingham Study. Am Heart J. 1987;113(4):1006-1010. doi:10.1016/0002-8703(87)90063-9
- Undas A, Topór-Madry R, Tracz W, Pasowicz M. Effect of cigarette smoking on plasma fibrin clot permeability and susceptibility to lysis. Thromb Haemost. 2009;102(6):1289-1291. doi: 10.1160/TH09-03-0187
- 31. McGill HC Jr. The cardiovascular pathology of smoking. Am Heart J. 1988;115(1 Pt 2):250-257. doi:10.1016/0002-8703(88)90645-X
- 32. Zidovetzki R, Chen P, Fisher M, Hofman FM, Faraci FM. Nicotine increases plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 production by human brain endothelial cells via protein kinase C-associated pathway. Stroke. 1999;30(3):651-655. doi:10.1161/01.STR.30.3.651

Research Paper

 Wolberg AS. Plasma and cellular contributions to fibrin network formation, structure and stability. Haemophilia. 2010;16(Suppl 3):7-12. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2516.2010.02253.x

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have completed and submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest and none was reported.

FUNDING

This study was funded by National Natural Science Foundation of China grant 81673511, Jiangsu key Research and Development Plan grant BE2017613, Jiangsu Six Talent Peaks Project grant WSN-151, and Hunan Science and Technology Innovation Project grant 2017SK50512.

PROVENANCE AND PEER REVIEW

Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.